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#### Abstract

The reactions of diarylimidoyl chlorides $\mathrm{ArC}(\mathrm{Cl})=\mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Y}$ with piperidine, morpholine, and diethylamine which form the corresponding amidines were studied in benzene. Either only first-order term in the amine (mostly with piperidine) or both first- and second-order terms in the amine (mostly with morpholine) were found. The Hammett plots show a minimum when $\mathrm{Y}=p-\mathrm{Cl}$ with piperidine and when $\mathrm{Y}=m-\mathrm{Cl}$ with morpholine, and the element effect $k(\mathrm{PhC}(\mathrm{Br})=\mathrm{NPh}) / k(\mathrm{PhC}-$ $(\mathrm{Cl})=\mathrm{NPh})$ for the reaction with piperidine is 440 . Analysis of the substituent effects, the Hammett-type correlations, the catalyzed route, the effect of the nucleophile and the element effect, and the activation parameters suggest that the bimolecular substitution proceeds via the $S_{N} 2$ (IP) mechanism for electron-donating substituents and via nucleophilic addition-elimination for electron-attracting substituents. Superimposed on these routes are less important variants of third-order amine-catalyzed routes, where the amine may serve as a basic, electrophilic, or bifunctional catalyst.


Nucleophilic attacks on carbon-oxygen, ${ }^{2}$ carbon-nitrogen, ${ }^{3}$ and activated carbon-carbon ${ }^{4}$ double bonds leading to addition, substitution and isomerization reactions are common, and some of them were extensively studied. ${ }^{2-4}$ The carbonnitrogen double bond is intermediate in properties (bond length and energy, dipole moment $)^{5}$ between the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ and the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bonds, and the mechanisms of nucleophilic reactions at the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ bond are therefore expected to be analogous to those established for reactions at these bonds. Two such routes are nucleophilic attack on the double bond to form an intermediate carbanion or zwitterion which gives substitution, addition, and cleavage products, and a cleavage of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ bond to form a cationoid species when X is a leaving group (Scheme I).

Much mechanistic work was devoted to the substitution of activated vinyl halides ${ }^{6}$ and acyl halides. ${ }^{2 \mathrm{a}, 7}$ However, the mechanisms of the corresponding reactions of simple azomethine systems were much less investigated, ${ }^{3}$ although more data are available for systems where the nitrogen is substituted by an heteroatom. ${ }^{8}$

Ugi, Beck, and Fetzer ${ }^{9}$ found that the solvolysis of $C$-chloroazomethine systems $\mathrm{RC}(\mathrm{Cl})=\mathrm{NR}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\right.$ alkyl, aryl) in aqueous acetone shows common ion rate depression by $\mathrm{cl}^{-}$, rate enhancement by increasing the solvent polarity, by addition of several amines, and by increase in the bulk of the N -substituent, and rate reduction on increase in the bulk of the Csubstituent. Electron-withdrawing substituents reduced the hydrolysis rate, and the reaction was more sensitive to a change in the substituent on the nitrogen than to a change in the substituent on carbon. For $N$-phenylbenzimidoyl chlorides we calculated from Ugi's data ${ }^{9}$ a $\rho^{+}$value of -1.2.10
The hydrolysis was discussed in terms of ionization to an ion
pair which either returns to the imidoyl chloride or forms the product. ${ }^{9}$ Surprisingly, the intervention of free ions was not invoked, although the common ion rate depression indicates ${ }^{11}$ that free ions lead to a large fraction of the product (Scheme II).
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Hegarty, Cronin, and Scott ${ }^{12}$ showed that the hydrolysis of compounds $\mathrm{RC}(\mathrm{Cl})=\mathrm{NAr}^{\prime}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{ArO}, \mathrm{ArS}$, and ArNMe ) in $90 \%$ dioxane proceeds via the $S_{N} 1$ mechanism as evidenced by common ion, salt, and substituent effects. Most of the other information on substitution at the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ bond comes from the work of Scott, Hegarty, and co-workers ${ }^{8}$ on the hydrolysis of hydrazonyl halides $\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{X})=\mathrm{NNR}^{2} \mathrm{R}^{3}$ and the related halodiazabutadienes. The $S_{N} 1$ mechanism is substantiated by the negative $\rho$ or $\rho^{*}$ values for a change in $\mathrm{R}^{1}, \mathrm{R}^{2}$, and $\mathrm{R}^{3}$, , ${ }^{\text {i.h.o }}$ by the high Winstein-Grunwald $m$ values, ${ }^{8, m}$ by the positive salt effect, ${ }^{8 \mathrm{~m}}$ and by the common ion rate depression. ${ }^{8 i . m . l}$ The configurational instability of the azomethine systems ${ }^{13}$ prevented the study of the stereochemistry of the substitution of most of the azomethine systems.

When $\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}$ an alternative mechanism was observed: the reaction is initiated by elimination of HX with the formation of a dipolar intermediate. ${ }^{8 i}$

Much less is known about the bimolecular substitution mechanism at the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ bond compared with the analogous reactions at $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}^{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}^{2.7}$ centers. An example is the basic hydrolysis of the diarylchloroimidates $\mathrm{ArOC}(\mathrm{Cl})=\mathrm{NAr}^{\prime}$

Scheme 1


Table I. Second-Order Rate Constants $k^{\prime \prime}$ for the Reaction of Diarylimidoyl Chlorides 1 with Amines in Benzene

| Compd | Amine ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $10^{2}$ [Amine], M | $\begin{gathered} 10^{4} k^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\ \text { at } 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | Compd | Amine ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{2}$ [Amine], M | $\begin{gathered} 10^{4} k, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\ \text { at } 45^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a | Pip | 2.42 | 20.9 | 12 | Pip | 5.01 | 52.9 |
| 1a | Pip | 7.26 | 21.0 | 1 a | Pip | 16.7 | 55.4 |
| 1 a | Pip | 13.6 | 21.3 | $1 a$ | Pip | 33.4 | 49.4 |
| 1a | Pip | 19.1 | 20.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 1b | Pip | 9.55 | 39.9 | 1b | Pip | 3.34 | 96.8 |
| 1 b | Pip | 28.7 | 37.7 | 1 b | Pip | 6.68 | 92.3 |
| 1 b | Pip | 47.8 | 37.8 | 1b | Pip | 10.0 | 85.8 |
| 1c | Pip | 17.5 | 2.82 | 1 c | Pip | 16.7 | 6.92 |
| 1c | Pip | 52.6 | 2.78 | 1 c | Pip | 50.1 | 6.99 |
|  |  |  |  | 1 c | Pip | 100.0 | 7.35 |
| 1d | Pip | 15.1 | 2.02 | 1d | Pip | 16.7 | 6.34 |
| 1d | Pip | 45.2 | 2.02 | 1 d | Pip | 50.1 | 5.71 |
| 1d | Pip | 90.3 | 2.36 | 1d | Pip | 100.0 | 6.45 |
| 1 e | Pip | 19.1 | 2.36 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 e | Pip | 49.5 | 2.88 |  |  |  |  |
| 1e | Pip | 76.5 | 2.87 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 f | Pip | 5.10 | 6.98 | $1 f$ | Pip | 5.10 | 16.7 |
| $1 f$ | Pip | 10.2 | 7.30 | 1 f | Pip | 20.4 | 16.3 |
| $1 f$ | Pip | 15.3 | 7.46 | 1 f | Pip | 30.5 | 16.3 |
| 1 f | Pip | 20.4 | 7.85 |  |  |  |  |
| $1 f$ | Pip | 30.6 | 7.95 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 g | Pip | 7.7 | 14.2 | 1 g | Pip | 33.4 | 32.2 |
| 1g | Pip | 11.5 | 14.7 | 1 g | Pip | 133.4 | 34.3 |
| 1g | Pip | 17.6 | 15.8 | 1 g | Pip | 200.0 | 35.4 |
| 1 g | Pip | 26.3 | 16.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 1g | Pip | 32.0 | 17.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 g | Pip | 40.0 | 18.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 g | Pip | 47.9 | 19.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 1h | Pip | 9.83 | 12.4 | 1h | Pip | 1.48 | 29.2 |
| 1h | Pip | 25.6 | 12.7 | 1h | Pip | 5.92 | 28.4 |
| 1h | Pip | 59.0 | 11.1 | 1h | Pip | 17.85 | 29.0 |
| 1 i | Pip | 27.5 | 2.50 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Pip | 68.7 | 2.53 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Pip | 96.2 | 2.72 |  |  |  |  |
| 1j | Pip | 20.0 | 2.95 | 1 j | Pip | 49.5 | 6.73 |
| 1 j | Pip | 39.9 | 3.32 | 1 j | Pip | 198.0 | 6.90 |
| 1 j | Pip | 59.8 | 3.89 | 1 j | Pip | 297 | 7.07 |
| 1 j | Pip | 79.7 | 4.23 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 j | Pip | 99.7 | 4.56 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 j | Pip | 119.6 | 4.79 |  |  |  |  |
| 1k | Pip | 19.7 | 2.56 | 1k | Pip | 20.1 | 6.31 |
| 1k | Pip | 39.3 | 2.67 | 1k | Pip | 80.5 | 6.69 |
| 1k | Pip | 58.9 | 2.78 | 1k | Pip | 120.8 | 6.93 |
| 1k | Pip | 98.2 | 3.01 |  |  |  |  |
| 1k | Pip | 118.0 | 3.08 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Pip | 3.03 | 622 | $1]$ | Pip | 2.95 | 135 |
| $1]$ | Pip | 6.06 | 674 | 11 | Pip | 11.8 | 132 |
| 11 | Pip | 8.35 | 699 | 11 | Pip | 17.7 | 144 |
| 11 | Pip | $8.35{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 677 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Pip | 12.1 | 729 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Pip | 18.2 | 798 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Pip | 24.7 | 874 |  |  |  |  |
| 1a | Mor | 12.0 | 20.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 1a | Mor | 18.3 | 21.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 a | Mor | 24.0 | 23.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 1a | Mor | 27.5 | 24.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 1a | Mor | 30.0 | 25.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 a | Mor | 36.0 | 26.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 1c | Mor | 19.7 | 2.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 c | Mor | 29.6 | 2.34 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 c | Mor | 39.5 | 2.18 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 c | Mor | 59.2 | 2.45 |  |  |  |  |
| 1d | Mor | 79.8 | 0.840 |  |  |  |  |
| 1d | Mor | 99.8 | 0.923 |  |  |  |  |
| 1d | Mor | 119.6 | 1.026 |  |  |  |  |
| 1d | Mor | 139.5 | 1.105 |  |  |  |  |
| 1d | Mor | 159.5 | 1.141 |  |  |  |  |
| 1d | Mor | 179.4 | 1.272 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 e | Mor | 40.1 | 0.416 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 e | Mor | 60.2 | 0.488 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 e | Mor | 80.2 | 0.519 |  |  |  |  |

Table I (Continued)

| Compd | Amine ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $10^{2}$ [Amine], M | $\begin{gathered} 10^{4} k^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\ \text { at } 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | Compd | Amine ${ }^{a}$ | $10^{2}$ [Amine], M | $\begin{gathered} 10^{4} k^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\ \text { at } 45^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1e | Mor | 100.2 | 0.574 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 e | Mor | 120.2 | 0.637 |  |  |  |  |
| 1f | Mor | 60.3 | 0.716 |  |  |  |  |
| 1f | Mor | 80.4 | 0.837 |  |  |  |  |
| $1 f$ | Mor | 100.5 | 0.982 |  |  |  |  |
| 1f | Mor | 120.5 | 1.090 |  |  |  |  |
| $1 f$ | Mor | 140.6 | 1.185 |  |  |  |  |
| 1f | Mor | 181.0 | 1.438 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 g | Mor | 39.9 | 1.086 | 1g | Mor | 10.1 | 1.59 |
| 1 g | Mor | 49.8 | 1.148 | 1g | Mor | 40.2 | 2.31 |
| 1g | Mor | 59.8 | 1.271 | 1 g | Mor | 60.3 | 2.74 |
| 1g | Mor | 69.7 | 1.356 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 g | Mor | 79.7 | 1.510 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 g | Mor | 8.96 | 1.628 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 c | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ | 118.0 | 0.176 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 c | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ | 148.0 | 0.165 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 c | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ | 177.0 | 0.158 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 g | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ | 39.0 | 0.118 | 1 g | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ | 80.3 | 0.331 |
| 1g | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ | 118.0 | 0.119 | 1 g | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ | 160.5 | 0.324 |
| 1 g | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ | 235.0 | 0.119 | 1 g | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ | 241.0 | 0.318 |
| 11 | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ | 59.8 | 0.464 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ | 95.6 | 0.516 |  |  |  |  |
| $1]$ | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ | 119.5 | 0.544 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ | 143.5 | 0.574 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ | 179.2 | 0.621 |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Pip, piperidine; Mor, morpholine. ${ }^{b}$ In the presence of 0.15 M N -methylpiperidine.
which is first order in the imidate and in $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$and gives $\rho(\mathrm{Ar})$ $=1.41$ and $\rho\left(\mathrm{Ar}^{\prime}\right)=2.39$, suggesting reaction via a tetrahedral intermediate (Scheme III) with negative charge dispersal over the aryl groups. ${ }^{12}$
Scheme 111


Johnson et al. ${ }^{14}$ found exclusive or predominant inversion of configuration in the substitution of $Z$ - and $E-O$-methylbenzohydroximoyl chlorides (and the $p$-nitro derivatives) by methoxide ion in methanol, and a nucleophilic additionelimination mechanism (Scheme III) seems likely since the $k_{\mathrm{Br}} / k_{\mathrm{C} 1}$ ratio for the $E$ isomers is only 1.9. In contrast, McCormack and Hegarty ${ }^{15}$ found retention of configuration in the substitution of several $Z$-hydrazonyl halides $\mathrm{RC}(\mathrm{X})$ $=\mathrm{NN}(\mathrm{Me})$ Ar by methoxide ion. These stereochemical differences are not easily rationalized.

The mechanism of substitution at the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ bond by nucleophiles other than those derived from the solvent were not studied kinetically. As a part of a project to delineate the various mechanistic routes for substitution at the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ bond and to compare them with those at $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bonds, ${ }^{6.16}$ we report now the effect of the substituents, the leaving group, and the amine on the substitution of diarylimidoyl chlorides by amines in benzene-a solvent with low dielectric constant. The diversity of mechanisms found was extended by studying the reactions in acetonitrile ${ }^{1.17}$-a solvent with higher dielectric constant, as reported in a recent publication. ${ }^{17 a}$

## Results

The substitution of the diarylimidoyl chlorides $\mathbf{1 a - 1 m}$ by piperidine, morpholine, and diethylamine which gives quantitatively the corresponding amidines (eq 1) was followed spectrophotometrically in benzene in the presence of excess amine. The reaction was first order in the imidoyl chloride at
$0.00005-0.0005 \mathrm{M}$ concentrations with pseudo-first-order constants ( $k_{\text {obsd }}$ ), ${ }^{18}$ and in most of the systems it was also first order in the amine. In several cases the overall second-order constants $k^{\prime \prime}=k_{\text {obsd }} /\left[\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right]^{18}$ were linear in the amine concentration:


$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{a}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Y}=p-\mathrm{MeO} \\
& \mathbf{b}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Y}=m-\mathrm{Me} \\
& \mathbf{c}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H} \\
& \mathbf{d}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Y}=p-\mathrm{Cl} \\
& \mathbf{e}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Y}=m-\mathrm{Cl} \\
& \mathbf{f}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Y}=p-\mathrm{CN} \\
& \mathbf{g}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Y}=p-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \\
& \mathbf{h}, \mathrm{X}=p-\mathrm{MeO}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H} \\
& \mathbf{i}, \mathrm{X}=p-\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H} \\
& \mathbf{j}, \mathrm{X}=p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H} \\
& \mathbf{k}, \mathrm{X}=p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{Y}=p-\mathrm{MeO}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{X}=p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{Y}=p-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \\
& \mathbf{m}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Y}=p-\mathrm{Br} \\
& k^{\prime \prime}=k^{\prime}+k^{\prime \prime}\left[\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right] \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation 2 applies mostly with morpholine, and the secondorder term in the amine becomes more pronounced with the increase in the electron-withdrawing ability of Y. With piperidine and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ it applies only when either X or Y are strongly electron withdrawing.

The data are collected in Table I. Only typical $k^{\prime \prime}$ values are given when $k^{\prime \prime}$ is constant, and a larger number of $k^{\prime \prime}$ values are given when eq 2 is obeyed. Table II summarizes the $k^{\prime}, k^{\prime \prime}$, and $k^{\prime \prime} / k^{\prime}$ values and the activation parameters for systems obeying eq 2 . When $k^{\prime \prime}$ is constant, its average value which is based on larger amount of data than in Table I is given under $k^{\prime}$.

Table II. Second-Order ( $k^{\prime}$ ) and Third-Order $\left(k^{\prime \prime}\right)^{a}$ Rate Constants and Activation Parameters for the Reaction of $p-\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Cl})=\mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Y}$ with Amines in Benzene

| Amine | X | Y | $10^{4} k^{\prime}$ in $\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 10^{4} k^{\prime \prime} \text { in } \mathrm{M}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\ \text { at } 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} k^{\prime \prime} / k^{\prime}, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \\ \text { at } 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta H^{\ddagger}, \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}^{\text {b }}$ | $\Delta S^{\ddagger}, \mathrm{eu}^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Piperidine | H | $p-\mathrm{MeO}$ | $20.8 \pm 0.8$ | $52.7 \pm 1$ |  |  | $11.5 \pm 0.9$ | $-35 \pm 3$ |
|  | H | $m$-Me | $3.80 \pm 0.07$ | $9.26 \pm 0.4$ |  |  | $10.8 \pm 0.8$ | $-41 \pm 3$ |
|  | H | H | $2.74 \pm 0.1$ | $7.17 \pm 0.15$ |  |  | $11.5 \pm 0.7$ | $-39 \pm 2$ |
|  | H | $p-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $2.12 \pm 0.08$ | $5.97 \pm 0.3$ |  |  | $12.5 \pm 1.0$ | $-36 \pm 4$ |
|  | H | $m-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $2.84 \pm 0.2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | H | $p-\mathrm{CN}$ | $6.78 \pm 0.02$ | $16.5 \pm 0.2$ | $4.8 \pm 0.3$ | 0.71 | $10.6 \pm 0.2$ | $-40 \pm 0.6$ |
|  | H | $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $13.2 \pm 0.3$ | $34.2 \pm 1$ | $12.8 \pm 0.8$ | 0.97 | $11.4 \pm 0.7$ | $-36 \pm 2$ |
|  | MeO | H | $12.0 \pm 0.8$ | $28.7 \pm 0.3$ |  |  | $10.4 \pm 1.0$ | $-40 \pm 3$ |
|  | Cl | H | $2.54 \pm 0.09$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | H | $2.62 \pm 0.08$ | $6.86 \pm 0.2$ | $1.92 \pm 0.06$ | 0.73 | $11.5 \pm 0.8$ | $-39 \pm 3$ |
|  | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $p-\mathrm{MeO}$ | $2.46 \pm 0.02$ | $6.67 \pm 0.23$ | $0.55 \pm 0.02$ | 0.22 | $12.0 \pm 0.5$ | $-38 \pm 2$ |
|  | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $59.8 \pm 0.5$ | $136.0 \pm 5$ | $111.0 \pm 4$ | 1.86 | $9.8 \pm 0.6$ | $-38 \pm 2$ |
| Morpholine | H | $p-\mathrm{MeO}^{\text {c }}$ | $16.8 \pm 0.5$ |  | $28.0 \pm 2$ | 1.66 |  |  |
|  | H | H | $2.2 \pm 0.2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | H | $p-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $0.51 \pm 0.03$ |  | $0.42 \pm 0.02$ | 0.82 |  |  |
|  | H | $m-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $0.32 \pm 0.01$ |  | $0.26 \pm 0.02{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.81 |  |  |
|  | H | $p-\mathrm{CN}$ | $0.37 \pm 0.02$ |  | $0.59 \pm 0.015$ | 1.60 |  |  |
|  | H | $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $0.62 \pm 0.04$ | $1.40 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$ | $1.11 \pm 0.06^{\circ}$ | 1.80 | $\begin{aligned} & 9.7 \pm 0.6 \\ & 7.4 \pm 2^{f} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -48 \pm 2 \\ & -54 \pm 6^{J} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ | H | H | $0.17 \pm 0.01$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | H | $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $0.118 \pm 0.0005$ | $0.323 \pm 0.005$ |  |  | $12.2 \pm 0.2$ | $-43 \pm 0.8$ |
|  | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $0.39 \pm 0.01$ |  | $0.13 \pm 0.01$ | 0.33 |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ The correlation coefficients of the $k$ " vs. $\left[\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right]$ plots are $>0.99$. "Calculated for the $k^{\prime}$ process. The errors in $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$ and in $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$ were calculated according to R. C. Peterson, J. H. Markgraf, and S. D. Ross, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83, 3819 (1961). "With aniline $k$ " $\sim 7.5 \times 10^{-4}$ $\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at room temperature. ${ }^{d}$ The change in the dielectric constant contributes ca. half of the change in $k{ }^{\prime \prime}$. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{At} 45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, k^{\prime \prime}=2.1 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{M}^{-2}$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1} ; k^{\prime \prime} / k^{\prime}=1.5 \mathrm{M}^{-1} . f$ Calculated for the $k^{\prime \prime}$ process.

Table III. Solvent Effect on the Reaction of $\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Cl})=\mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Y}$ with Amines in Benzene at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| X | Y | Amine | $\underset{\mathrm{M}}{[\text { Amine }],}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{M}}{[\mathrm{PhCl}]}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10^{5} k^{\prime \prime} \\ \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | H | Morpholine | 0.51 |  | 24.9 |
|  |  |  | 0.51 | 0.49 | 32.0 |
|  |  |  | 0.51 | 0.99 | 37.8 |
| H | $m-\mathrm{Cl}$ | Morpholine | 0.80 |  | 5.2 |
|  |  |  | 0.80 | 0.40 | 5.4 |
|  |  |  | 0.80 | 0.81 | 5.9 |
| H | $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | Morpholine | 0.99 |  | 19.4 |
|  |  |  | 0.99 | 0.34 | 19.8 |
|  |  |  | 0.99 | 0.69 | 20.2 |
| H | $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | Piperidine | 0.30 |  | 165 |
|  |  |  | 0.30 | 0.42 | 175 |

Although the second-order term in the amine is interpreted below as due to amine catalysis in the substitution, it may also result from dimerization of the amine in benzene or from an increase in the dielectric constant of the solvent $(\epsilon 2.28)^{19}$ by the amine (piperidine, $\epsilon 5.8$; morpholine, $\epsilon 7.33$ ). ${ }^{19}$ However, since the effect was not found for systems where the highest amine concentrations were used, explanation in terms of catalysis is more likely. The effect of the dielectric constant was evaluated by conducting several of the reactions in the presence of chlorobenzene whose dielectric constant (5.71) resembles that of piperidine. The pronounced rate enhancement (Table III) indicates that when the change in $k^{\prime \prime}$ is small or nonsystematic or when it is important only at low [ $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ], it may be due to a dielectric constant effect. Even for systems (e.g., 1g) where $k^{\prime \prime}$ is relatively large, ca. $20 \%$ of the change may be due to this effect.

Element Effect. In order to study the effect of the leaving group we tried to synthesize several imidoyl bromides with the same substituents as in compounds 1. Although the intermediacy of imidoyl bromides in the degradation of amides by
$\mathrm{PBr}_{5}$ was suggested a long time ago, ${ }^{20 \mathrm{a}}$ they were not isolated until recently. ${ }^{20 b}$ Attempts to prepare $N$-arylbenzimidoyl bromides from the aldimine and N -bromosuccinimide gave only black oils or tars. Thionyl bromide or $\mathrm{PBr}_{5}$ did not react with $N$-p-nitrophenylbenzanilide, while $N$-phenylbenzanilide and thionyl bromide gave a disubstitution product on the aniline ring, probably an $N$-o,p-dibromophenylbenzanilide. Likewise, $N$ - $p$-methoxyphenylbenzanilide gave ring bromination with $\mathrm{PBr}_{5}$. Finally, bromination of benzanilide with $\mathrm{PBr}_{5}$ gave a mixture of $N$-phenylbenzimidoyl bromide (3a) and $N$-p-bromophenylbenzimidoyl bromide (3b), which could not be separated by distillation and which decomposed during separation by other methods. Hence, the kinetics of the substitution of two mixtures, (i) $80 \%$ 3a:20\% 3b and (ii) $73 \%$ 3a and $27 \% 3$ b with piperidine (eq 3 and 4 ), was followed by the increase of the optical density at 295 nm .

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{PhC}(\mathrm{Br})=\mathrm{NPh}+\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NH} \rightarrow \mathrm{PhC}\left(\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right)=\mathrm{NPh}  \tag{3}\\
\text { 3a } \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text { 2c } \\
\mathrm{PhC}(\mathrm{Br})=\mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Br}-p
\end{array}+\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NH} \\
\text { 3b }  \tag{4}\\
\rightarrow \mathrm{PhC}\left(\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right)=\mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Br}-p \\
2 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gather*}
$$

The molar absorption coefficients of pure $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$ could not be measured. However, the absence of conjugation between the substituent Y and the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ bond ${ }^{21}$ results in a very similar spectral change at $280-400 \mathrm{~nm}$ when $\mathbf{1}$ is converted to 2 for different Y's, e.g., $\epsilon(\mathbf{2 c})-\epsilon(\mathbf{1 c})=2200 \pm 200$ and $\epsilon(2 \mathrm{~m})-$ $\epsilon(1 \mathrm{~m})=2500 \pm 300$. If we assume that this is also true for the $\epsilon(\mathbf{2 c})-\epsilon(\mathbf{3 a})$ and the $\epsilon(\mathbf{2 m})-\epsilon(\mathbf{3 b})$ differences, the increase of the absorption at $\lambda 295 \mathrm{~nm}$ could be treated as due to increased concentration of the same component $C$, formed independently with first-order constants $k_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $k_{\mathrm{b}}$ from the starting materials $A$ and $B$. The rate is given by: ${ }^{22}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(C_{\infty}-C\right)=\ln (A+B)=\ln \left(A_{0} e^{-k_{\mathrm{a}} \prime}+B_{0} e^{-k_{\mathrm{b}} \prime}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table IV. Calculated Values for $\mathbf{3 b}^{a}$ for the Reaction of 4:1 Mixture of 3a to $\mathbf{3 b}$ with Piperidine in Benzene at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| $10^{3}$ [Piperidine], M | 6.73 | 8.72 | 11.2 | 14.5 | 17.6 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $\%$ of $\mathbf{3 b}$ in the mixture | 35 | 37 | 28 | 22 | 29 |  |
| $10^{2} k^{\prime \prime}$ for $\mathbf{3 b}, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathbf{s}^{-1} b$ | 3.5 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.7 | $3.0 \pm 0 . \mathbf{4}^{c}$ |

${ }^{a}[\mathrm{RBr}]=0.0003-0.0004 \mathrm{M} .{ }^{b}$ Calculated from the linear portion of the line $(r>0.998)$ at $>80 \%$ reaction. ${ }^{c}$ Average value.

Table V. Second-Order Constants for the Reaction of Piperidine with 3a-3b in Benzene

| (A) $80 \%$ of 3 a and $20 \%$ of $3 \mathbf{b}^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{3}$ [Piperidine], M | 2.90 | 4.48 | 5.81 | 6.73 | 8.72 | 11.6 | 14.5 | 17.6 |  |
| $10^{2} k^{\prime \prime}$ for $3 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{h}$ | 10.6 | 10.4 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 10.1 | 9.88 | 10.2 | 9.4 | $10.2 \pm 0.3^{\text {c }}$ |
| $10^{3}$ [Piperidine], M | 3.13 | 4.18 | 5.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10^{2} k^{\prime \prime}$ for $3 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{b}$ | 18.0 | 17.7 | 16.7 |  | $17.5 \pm$ |  |  |  |  |
| (B) $73 \%$ of $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $27 \% \mathbf{3 b}^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10^{3}$ [Piperidine], M | 2.83 | 3.26 | 5.64 | 6.52 | 8.47 | 8.77 |  |  |  |
| $10^{2} k^{\prime \prime}$ for $\mathbf{3 a}, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{b}$ | 8.66 | 8.80 | 8.49 | 8.71 | 8.82 | 8.73 |  | $8.70 \pm$ |  |

${ }^{a}[\mathrm{RBr}]=0.00026-0.0004 \mathrm{M} .{ }^{{ }^{h}} r \geqslant 0.999$ for the first $30-50 \%$ of the first-order plot. Average value.
where $A_{0}, B_{0}$ are the initial concentrations and $C_{\infty}=A_{0}+B_{0}$. If $B_{0}>A_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $k_{\mathrm{b}}<k_{\mathrm{a}}$ (i.e., the mixture is richer in the slow component) the curved plot of $\ln \left(C_{\infty}-C\right)$ vs. $t$ should become linear (eq 6) during the reaction since the fast component will be completely consumed. Both $B_{0}$ and $k_{\mathrm{b}}$ could be then eliminated, and $A_{0}$ and $k_{\mathrm{a}}$ will be obtained from eq 5 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(C_{\infty}-C\right)=\ln B_{\mathrm{o}}-k_{\mathrm{b}} t \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Unfortunately, our mixtures are richer in the fast component, and since the rate difference between $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$ is not large, linearity of the $\ln \left(C_{\infty}-C\right)$ vs. $t$ plot was obtained only after $80 \%$ reaction when the reaction was followed up to $90-95 \%$. Table IV gives the percentage of $\mathbf{3 b}$ and $k^{\prime \prime}$ for $\mathbf{3 b}$ as calculated for mixture i from the linear portion of the line, at several piperidine concentrations. The values are not constant, and the percentage of $\mathbf{3 b}$ differs from the value of $20 \%$ obtained from the elemental analysis. Nevertheless, considering the error involved, the average $k^{\prime \prime}$ value of $0.03 \pm 0.004 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is a rough estimate for the rate constant of $\mathbf{3 b}$ with piperidine in benzene at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

On the other hand, since the fast compound is the major component of the mixtures, the plot of $\ln \left(C_{\infty}-C\right)$ vs. $t$ shows good linearity up to $40-50 \%$ reaction. This is due to the following reason: by inserting the values $A=A_{0} e^{-k_{1}}$, $B=$ $B_{0} e^{-k_{\mathrm{b}}}$ into the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} c / \mathrm{d} t=k_{\mathrm{a}} A+k_{\mathrm{b}} B=k_{\mathrm{T}}\left(C_{\infty}-C\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{k_{\mathrm{a}} A_{\mathrm{o}} e^{-k_{\mathrm{a}} t}+k_{\mathrm{b}} B_{\mathrm{o}} e^{-k_{\mathrm{b}} \prime}}{A_{\mathrm{o}} e^{-k_{\mathrm{a}} t}+B_{0} e^{-k_{\mathrm{b} t}}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k_{\mathrm{T}}$, the apparent first-order constant. The $k_{\mathrm{T}}$ value which depends on the $A_{\mathrm{o}} / B_{0}$ ratio, on the magnitude and the ratio of $k_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $k_{\mathrm{b}}$, and on the time $t$ decreases with the progress of the reaction when the mixture becomes richer in the slow component. However, when we apply our conditions (i.e., $A_{\mathrm{o}} / B_{0} \geqslant 3 ; k_{\mathrm{a}} / k_{\mathrm{b}} \leqslant 4$ ) to eq 8 we find that $k_{\mathrm{T}}$ should decrease by $\leqslant 9 \%$ up to $50 \%$ reaction, as was indeed observed. Equation 8 therefore enables the calculation of the initial pseudo-firstorder constants and of the $k^{\prime \prime}$ values. These were found to decrease during the reaction, as expected (Table V).

By using the average $k$ " for mixture i, the average $k$ " for 3b and the $\mathbf{3 a} / \mathbf{3 b}$ ratio, an approximate $k$ " value of $0.12 \pm 0.01$ $\mathrm{M}^{-} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ for the reaction of $N$-phenylbenzimidoyl bromide (3a) with piperidine in benzene at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was estimated. This gave a $k_{\mathrm{Br}} / k_{\mathrm{Cl}}\left(=k_{3 \mathrm{a}} / k_{\text {1c }}\right)$ ratio of $440 \pm 40$. A very rough $k_{\mathrm{Br}} / k_{\mathrm{Cl}}$ ratio of 140 can be estimated from the $k$ "values for the reac-


Figure 1. Hammett plot for the reaction of $\mathrm{PhC}(\mathrm{Cl})=\mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Y}$ with morpholine in benzene at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (1) $\log k^{\prime}$ vs. $\sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}$; (II) $\log k^{\prime \prime}$ vs. $\sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}$.
tions of piperidine with $N$ - $p$-bromobenzimidoyl bromide (3b) and $N$-p-chlorobenzimidoyl chloride (1d).

Hammett-Type Correlations. The Hammett plots for the substituents Y when $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$ are parabola-shaped both for the second-order and for the third-order process (Figures 1 and 2). If the curvature results from a combination of two mechanisms, then when $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$ the $k_{Y}$ value ( $k^{\prime}$ or $k^{\prime \prime}$ ) will be the sum of $k_{Y}(1)$ and $k_{Y}(2)$ which are the rate constants for the mechanisms with negative and positive $\rho$ value, respectively. Equation 9 gives the relationship between $k_{Y}$ and the Hammett parameters $\left[\sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}(1), \rho(1), \sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}(2)\right.$, and $\left.\rho(2)\right]$ for the two mechanisms:

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{Y}=k_{Y}(1)+k_{Y}(2)=[ & \left.k_{\mathrm{H}}(1)\right] 10^{\sigma \gamma}(1) \rho(1) \\
& +\left[k_{\mathrm{H}}(2)\right] 10^{\sigma Y(2) \rho(2)} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Table VI. $\rho(1), \rho(2), k_{\mathrm{H}}(1)$, and $k_{\mathrm{H}}(2)$ Values for the Reaction of Compounds $\mathbf{1}$ with Piperidine and Morpholine in Benzene

| Set | $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ | $k$ | $T,{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\sigma(1)$ | $\sigma(2)$ | $\rho(1)$ | $\rho(2)$ | $10^{4} k_{\mathrm{H}}(1)$ | $10^{4} k_{H}(2)$ | $\delta, \%^{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Piperidine | $k^{\prime}$ | 30 | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | -3.71 | 1.77 | 2.02 | 0.517 | 9.6 |
|  |  |  |  | $\sigma^{+}$ | $\sigma$ | -1.11 | 2.30 | 2.77 | 0.191 | 7.9 |
|  |  |  |  | $\sigma^{+}$ | $\sigma^{-}$ | -1.16 | 1.09 | 2.48 | 0.533 | 9.7 |
| 2 | Piperidine | $k^{\prime}$ | 45 |  | $\sigma$ | -3.63 | 2.06 | 5.58 | 0.794 | 13.7 |
|  |  |  |  | $\sigma^{+}$ | $\sigma$ | -1.10 | 2.62 | 7.30 | 0.282 | 2.4 |
|  |  |  |  | $\sigma^{+}$ | $\sigma^{-}$ | -1.14 | 1.26 | 6.78 | 0.829 | 2.9 |
| 3 | Morpholine | $k^{\prime}$ | 30 | $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | -3.33 | 1.27 | 2.15 | 0.058 | 4.6 |
|  |  |  |  | $\sigma^{+}$ | $\sigma$ | -1.29 | 5.07 | 1.67 | 0.000046 | 34.7 |
|  |  |  |  | $\sigma^{+}$ | $\sigma^{-}$ | -1.29 | 2.50 | 1.67 | 0.00031 | 34.8 |
| $3 a^{\text {b }}$ | Morpholine | $k^{\prime}$ | 30 | $\sigma^{+}$ | $\sigma$ | -1.69 | 1.74 | 0.81 | 0.024 | 5.1 |
|  |  |  |  | $\sigma^{+}$ | $\sigma^{-}$ | -1.70 | 0.81 | 0.79 | 0.054 | 6.0 |
| 4 | Morpholine | $k^{\prime \prime}$ | 30 |  |  |  | 2.07 | 2.61 | 0.025 | 1.6 |
|  |  |  |  | $\sigma^{+}$ | $\sigma$ | -2.05 | 2.16 | 0.71 | 0.021 | 1.8 |
|  |  |  |  | $\sigma^{+}$ | $\sigma^{-}$ | -2.08 | 1.02 | 0.67 | 0.055 | 3.3 |

$a^{\prime} \delta=(100 / n) \Sigma\left(k_{Y}-k_{\text {obsd, } Y}\right) / k_{\text {obsd. } Y}$ (when $n$ is the number of points), i.e., $\delta$ is the average deviation (in \%) of the observed from the calculated rate constants. ${ }^{b}$ Based on the same data as series 3 , except for $k^{\prime}$ for $\mathbf{1 c}$.


Figure 2. $\log k^{\prime}$ vs. $\sigma_{Y}$ plot for the reaction of $\mathrm{PhC}(\mathrm{Cl})=\mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Y}$ with piperidine in benzene at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

We searched for the best fit of the experimental $k_{Y}$ values to eq 9 for three combinations of $\sigma(1)$ and $\sigma(2)$ values: (a) $\sigma(1)$ $=\sigma^{+} ; \sigma(2)=\sigma$; (b) $\sigma(1)=\sigma^{+} ; \sigma(2)=\sigma^{-}$; (c) $\sigma(1)=\sigma(2)=$ $\sigma$. We used the computer program CURVEFIT for calculating the best values of $k_{\mathrm{H}}(1), k_{\mathrm{H}}(2), \rho(1)$, and $\rho(2)$. The results and the average deviation of the experimental from the calculated values (in \%) are given in Table VI.

## Discussion

The most characteristic features of our results are the substituent effects (Table II and Figures 1-3). The rate decreases ( $\rho<0$ ) from the fastest compound when $\mathrm{Y}=p-\mathrm{MeO}$ to a minimum value when $\mathrm{Y}=p-\mathrm{Cl}$ or $m-\mathrm{Cl}$ in the reactions with


Flgure 3. Log $k^{\prime}$ vs. $\sigma_{\mathrm{X}}$ plot for the reaction of $\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Cl})=\mathrm{NPh}$ with piperidine in benzene at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
piperidine and morpholine, respectively, and then increases more moderately $(\rho>0)$ with $\sigma \mathrm{Y}$.

Curved Hammett plots with minima are usually ascribed to competition of two mechanisms with opposite electronic demands, ${ }^{23}$ where each one predominates in a different range of $\sigma$ values. Several such mechanisms should be considered for our system.

There are two possibilities for the mechanism with a negative $\rho$ value. (a) A dissociative bimolecular $S_{N} 2$ reaction where bond cleavage appreciably precedes bond formation will have a partial positive charge on the nitrogen in the transition state 4 and consequently a negative $\rho$ for the substituents Y. The second-order dependence in the reaction of morpholine with Scheme IV


Scheme V


1 a suggests a variant via a termolecular transition state 5: the expulsion of the chlorine is electrophilically assisted by the hydrogens of a second amine molecule which may either first associate with 1 or react in concert with the nucleophilic amine molecule. These possibilities are shown in Scheme IV.
(b) Reaction via an ion pair 6 whose reaction with the amine is slower than its return to the covalent material. This is Sneen's ion pair mechanism, ${ }^{24}$ which is designated here as $S_{N} 2$ (IP). A similar mechanism designated $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2\left(\mathrm{C}^{+}\right)$, where a free carbonium ion which is formed in a preequilibrium process is attacked slowly by the nucleophile, was suggested by Ingold. ${ }^{25}$ Both cationoid species (the ion pair and the free ion) may be involved in the reaction as suggested for the substitution of acetyl chloride and bromide by phenols in acetonitrile and nitromethane. ${ }^{26}$ Since we did not observe common ion rate depression by the formed $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$within a run and high concentrations of external free $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ion could not be used due to solubility reasons and the low ion pair dissociation constants of salts in benzene, there is no evidence for the intervention of free ions. However, we prefer the ion pair mechanism since ion pair dissociation is unfavored in benzene. The second order in the morpholine requires again a variant where the second amine molecule assists in the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond heterolysis to form the ion pair. Scheme V summarizes these pathways.

A steady-state treatment of Scheme V gives eq 10 for the pseudo-first-order rate constant $k_{\text {obsd }}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{obsd}}=\frac{k_{1} k_{3}\left[\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right]}{k_{-1}+k_{3}\left[\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right]}+\frac{k_{2} k_{4}\left[\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right]^{2}}{k_{-2}+k_{4}\left[\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right]} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assuming a relative rapid return of the ion pairs 6 and 7 to the covalent material, i.e., $k_{-1} \gg k_{3}\left[\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right] ; k_{-2} \gg$ $k_{4}\left[\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right]$, the second-order rate constant is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{\prime \prime}=\frac{k_{1} k_{3}}{k_{-1}}+\frac{k_{2} k_{4}}{k_{-2}}\left[\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is similar to the experimentally observed eq 2 , with $k^{\prime}=$ $k_{1} k_{3} / k_{-1}$ and $k^{\prime \prime}=k_{2} k_{4} / k_{-2}$, and consequently Scheme V accounts for the results.
When $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}>0.227$ (for piperidine) and $>0.373$
Scheme VI
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Although the addition-elimination route is the only one invoked up to now for the bimolecular reaction at azomethine systems, the curved $\log k-\sigma$ plots could be also ascribed to a single $S_{N} 2$ mechanism whose transition state gradually changes from a dissociative (i.e., via 4) for electron-donating Y's to an associative (i.e., via 8) for electron-withdrawing Y's. This interpretation for our "substituted benzyl halides" is similar to that given by Hudson and Klopman for the $\log k-\sigma$ plots with minima obtained for the reaction of thiophenoxide ions with substituted benzyl bromides. ${ }^{32}$ A decrease of the electron density at the benzylic carbon on increasing $\sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}$ results in a more difficult $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond cleavage and an easier $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond formation, and correspondingly in a change from a dissociative to an associative $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ mechanism.

The possibility of an $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2 \mathrm{C}^{+}$, an addition-elimination, and a synchronous displacement with variable transition-state structure was recently discussed by Kevill et al. ${ }^{33}$ for the analogous reaction of the ethanolysis of acyl chlorides. They concluded that the synchronous mechanism alone or in combination with the addition-elimination route accounts for their results. However, we believe that in our systems a combination of the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ (IP) route for electron-donating substituents with a nucleophilic addition-elimination route for electron-withdrawing substituents is the most plausible, although the synchronous mechanism cannot be unequivocally excluded. As discussed below, we base this suggestion on analysis of the amine-catalyzed route, on quantitative analysis of the substituent effects, on the effects of the nucleophile and the leaving group, and on the values of the activation parameters.

Catalyzed Route. The contribution of the second-order ("amine-catalyzed") route in the amine is small as reflected by the $k^{\prime \prime} / k^{\prime}$ ratios of Table II. The catalysis is not due to formation of a stronger nucleophilic anion by self-protonation of the amine (eq 14) since it does not fit the increase of the $k^{\prime \prime} / k^{\prime}$ ratios with $\sigma_{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}$ and their higher values for morpholine, as well as the absence of catalysis of the reaction of piperidine with 11 by the stronger base $N$-methylpiperidine. Several possibilities for the transition state were suggested above.

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NH} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}^{+}+\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{-} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assume that direct formation of a termolecular transition state from the reactant is less likely than its formation by a multistep reaction, the catalysis is more in line with a combination of the multistep $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ (IP) and $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{N}}-\mathrm{E}$ routes. However, it should be noted that reservations were raised concerning structurally related transition states to those described above for our catalyzed route. In discussing the rate acceleration by bases in the analogous $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ reactions, Bunnett and Garst ${ }^{28 b}$ raised doubt whether even $k^{\prime \prime} / k^{\prime}$ values higher than ours can be regarded as a good evidence for the intervention of base-catalyzed routes via transition states analogous to 12. Likewise, Jencks' "libido rule" ${ }^{34}$ cast doubt on the intermediacy of species involved in electrophilic catalysis by protonation of the leaving group (the conjugate base of a strong acid) by the weakly acidic amine.

However, contrary to the cases studied by Bunnett and Garst, ${ }^{28 b}$ the $k^{\prime \prime} / k^{\prime}$ values in our systems change systematically with the substrate and the amine: the values increase with $\sigma_{\mathrm{X}}$ or $\sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}$ due to increasing difficulty in the expulsion of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$from
10. The importance of steric effects may be reflected by the ineffectiveness of $N$-methylpiperidine as a catalyst and by the lower $k^{\prime \prime} / k^{\prime}$ for the reaction of 11 with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(0.33)$ compared with that with piperidine (1.86). Unfortunately, unhindered tertiary bases such as $\gamma$-picoline could not be used to determine the nature of the catalysis since they reacted with the imidoyl chlorides.

The stronger catalysis with the weaker base (morpholine) favors electrophilic catalysis via $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{N}}$ 2(IP) for electron-donating substituents (Scheme V,1 $\rightarrow$ 7). It also fits an electrophilic or bifunctional catalysis for chloride ion expulsion for electronwithdrawing substituents (transition states 13 and 14), although base catalysis via Scheme VII is also possible, since $k_{8}{ }^{\prime}$ for morpholinium ion will be higher than that for piperidinium ion. Such interpretation was given for the catalyzed reactions of these amines with 1-p-dimethylaminophenyl-1-ethoxy-2,2-dicyanoethylene. ${ }^{30 \mathrm{c}}$ Since hydrogen bonding to the leaving group is an important contributor to the enhanced heterolytic cleavage rate for $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 1$ reactions, and since this factor should be important especially in low dielectric solvent such as benzene, the electrophilically catalyzed routes seem plausible for our system. Moreover, it is not at all clear that the "libido rule" is applicable for the process $\mathrm{RCl}+\mathrm{HNR}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{R} \cdots \mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{HNR}_{2}$ $\rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{+}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-} \ldots \mathrm{HNR}_{2}$ in an aprotic solvent.

In analogy with the mechanisms suggested for nucleophilic substitution in cyclic azomethine systems ${ }^{35 \mathrm{a}}$ and for addition of HCN to benzalanilines ${ }^{35 \mathrm{~b}}$ an electrophilic or bifunctional catalysis in the addition step via the intermediates or the transition states $\mathbf{1 5}$ or $\mathbf{1 6}$ also account for the inefficiency of


15


16

N -methylpiperidine as a catalyst and for the preferred catalysis by morpholine. However, the $k^{\prime \prime} / k^{\prime}$ ratios are then expected to decrease on increasing $\sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}$, and Scheme VI seems more likely.

Substituent Effects. The nature of the different mechanisms for electron-attracting and for electron-donating substituents is probed by analysis of the curved Hammett plots in term of different combinations of $\sigma$ values (Table VI).

The values of the average deviation suggest that the assumption of competing two mechanisms is correct. The best fit for the reaction of $7-N$-arylbenzimidoyl chlorides with piperidine at 30 and at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is with the $\sigma^{+}$values for the mechanism with negative $\rho$ and with $\sigma$ values for the mechanism with positive $\rho$. When $\sigma$ is replaced by $\sigma^{-}$the deviation increases slightly. The fit with $\sigma^{+}$corroborates the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ (IP) mechanism for systems with electron-donating Y's. The resulting $\rho^{+}$of -1.1 is lower than the values for formation of benzhydryl ( $\rho^{+}=-3$ to -5$)^{36}$ or vinyl cations ( $\rho^{+}=-3$ to -6.6 ), ${ }^{16,37}$ where the charge dispersal on the $\alpha$-aryl group is resonative, since in our system the main effect of the anilinoring substituents is inductive. Our $\rho$ value is lower than $\rho=$ -2.75 found for compounds 1 with electron-withdrawing

Table VII. $\beta$ Values, Based on $k^{\prime}$ for Piperidine and Morpholine for $\mathrm{PhC}(\mathrm{Cl})=\mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Y}$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| Y | $p-\mathrm{MeO}$ | H | $p-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $m-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $p-\mathrm{CN}^{a}$ | $0 . \mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{n, c}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sigma^{d}$ | -0.268 | 0 | 0.227 | 0.373 | 0.78 |  |
| $\beta$ | 0.028 | 0.083 | 0.22 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0.48 |

${ }^{a}$ For $k^{\prime \prime}, \beta=0.33 .{ }^{b}$ For $k^{\prime \prime}, \beta=0.38 .^{c}$ For $k^{\prime}\left(45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \beta=0.50 .{ }^{\text {d From H. H. Jaffe, Chem. Rev., 53, 191 (1953) }}$
substituents in dioxane-water ${ }^{12}$ and is similar to $\rho \sim-1$ which was calculated from Ugi's data ${ }^{9}$ for compounds 1a and 1c in aqueous acetone. The difference may be due to the fact that the $\rho$ 's in Ugi's and in our case are probably complex and involve also the $\rho$ for the reaction of the nucleophile with the ion pair, while for Hegarty's system in aqueous dioxane $\rho$ is only for the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond ionization step. ${ }^{12}$ The better fit of the "positive $\rho$ " mechanism to $\sigma$ than to $\sigma^{-}$supports an associative $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ mechanism, but it cannot exclude an addition-elimination route, since a better correlation with $\sigma$ than with $\sigma^{-}$was found in the isomerization via nucleophilic addition-elimination of $\alpha$-cyanostilbenes $\mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{Ar}{ }^{38}$ The $\rho$ values of 1.7-2.6 resemble the value of 2.39 for the hydrolysis of $N$-aryl-C-chloroformimidates in aqueous dioxane at $\mathrm{pH}>13$ which was ascribed to an addition-elimination, ${ }^{12}$ or the value of 1.9 for the base-catalyzed addition of methanol to benzalaniline. ${ }^{39}$ Both the $\rho$ values and the $\rho^{-}$values of 1.1-1.3 are lower than $\rho=3.35$ and $\rho^{-}=2.2-2.6$ found for substitution in vinylic systems, ${ }^{40}$ and this again may be due to the complex nature of our rate constant.

Both the second- and third-order constants of six compounds 1 with morpholine show the best fit with $\sigma$ values for both mechanisms, with $\rho$ values of -3.3 and +1.3 . This may indicate that morpholine reacts via $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ rather than by $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ (IP), but it may also be due to the exceptional $k^{\prime}$ value for the reaction of $1 \mathbf{c}$ where a clear second-order dependence on the amine was not observed. When this value was discarded, a good correlation with a combination of either $\sigma^{+}$and $\sigma$ or of $\sigma^{+}$and $\sigma^{-}$was observed. The $\rho$ values of the catalyzed reaction (Table VI, series 4) are higher than those for the uncatalyzed reaction (series 3 and 3a), but it should be noted that the $k^{\prime \prime}$ values probably involve some contribution from dielectric constant effects.

For each set of $\sigma$ values the $\rho$ values (for $k^{\prime}$ ) for morpholine are lower than those for piperidine, and the minimum of the curve is shifted from $p-\mathrm{Cl}$ for piperidine to $m-\mathrm{Cl}$ for morpholine. This behavior fits either an $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ route with increasing associative character with the increase in the basicity of the nucleophile, or a mixture of addition-elimination and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ (IP) processes, where the contribution of the former is apparent earlier for the stronger nucleophile.
The dependence of $\log k$ on $\sigma_{\mathrm{X}}$ when $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H}$ (Figure 3) is also concave, but the rates are almost the same when $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$, $p-\mathrm{Cl}$, and $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ and only when $\mathrm{X}=p-\mathrm{MeO}$ the reaction is substantially faster. The negligible effect of the electron-attracting substituents fits the addition-elimination route since resonative negative charge dispersal by the $\alpha$-aryl group is impossible in the intermediate 10 and the inductive effect is canceled. A similar behavior was observed for the addition of methanol to similarly substituted benzalanilines. ${ }^{39}$ When X $=p-\mathrm{MeO}$ the reaction probably proceeds via initial ionization, and the effect of the substituent on the carbon-substituted ring is smaller than that in the nitrogen-substituted ring [ $\left(k^{\prime}\right.$ $\left.(\mathbf{1 h}) / k^{\prime}(\mathbf{1 a})=0.6\right]$, as already found for the hydrolysis of $N$-arylbenzimidoyl halides. ${ }^{9.12}$

In contrast, when $Y=p-\mathrm{MeO}$, a change of X from H to $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ reduces the rate eightfold since the ground-state stabilization (cf. 17) is lost either in the zwitterion or in the nitrilium ion.
Effect of the Nucleophile. The sensitivity of the reaction to the basicity and steric effects of the nucleophile is deduced
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from comparison of the reactivities of piperidine ( $\mathrm{p} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ ) $11.12),{ }^{41}$ morpholine ( $\mathrm{p} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 8.33$ ), ${ }^{41}$ and diethylamine $\left(\mathrm{p} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 11.04\right) .^{41}$ The basicities of piperidine and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ are similar but attack by the latter is sterically more hindered, while the steric effects of piperidine and morpholine are similar but morpholine is much less basic. Table II shows that piperidine is faster than morpholine, and the Bronsted " $\beta$ " 's, calculated from the $k^{\prime}$ and the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ values for the two amines only, are substituent dependent (Table VII) and reflect the nucleophile basicity.

Literature $\beta$ values for $S_{N} 2$ reactions on alkyl and benzyl systems are 0.15-0.43;42 for addition to activated double bonds or substitution via rate-determining addition, $\beta=0.4-0.46,{ }^{43}$ and for attack on $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bonds, $\beta=0.65-0.9 .{ }^{42 \mathrm{~b} .44}$ In comparison, our $\beta$ values of $<0.1$ for $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H}$ or $p-\mathrm{MeO}$ are very low, especially for a reaction in a nonpolar aprotic solvent. These values point to a mechanism with a very low extent of car-bon-nucleophile bond formation in the transition state and are consistent with the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ (IP) route since early transition state is expected for combination of amine with the positively charged carbon atom. The $\beta$ values for systems $\mathbf{1 d}-1 \mathrm{~g}$ with electron-attracting substituents are consistent both with an $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reaction and with the addition-elimination route.

The $k^{\prime}$ (piperidine) $/ k^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right)$ values depend on the elec-tron-attracting ability of the system and increase from 16 for $\mathbf{1 c}$ to 112 for $\mathbf{1 g}$ to 153 for 11 . Catalysis was observed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ only for 11, where $k^{\prime \prime}$ (piperidine) $/ k^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right)=853$. These values are understood in terms of an addition-elimination mechanism, where steric effects in the nucleophile are of great importance. ${ }^{30}$ It is noteworthy that compound 11 is both more reactive and more selective, in contrast to the expectation from the Hammond principle. ${ }^{45}$

Activation Parameters. The activation parameters (Table II) for piperidine ( $\Delta H^{\ddagger} \sim 11 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, \Delta S^{\ddagger} \sim-38 \mathrm{eu}$ ) show small dependence on the substituents. In view of the caution which should be exercised in interpreting these parameters, only analogies which may have bearing on the mechanism are presented. Thus, in the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reactions of anilines with arylsulfonyl chlorides, $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$ is appreciably dependent on the substituents, ${ }^{46}$ while $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$ for the ionization of triphenylmethyl chloride to an ion pair is $12 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in benzene. ${ }^{47}$ The importance of the $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$ term is shown by the low value of 6.2 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\left(\Delta S^{\ddagger}=-45 \mathrm{eu}\right)$ for bromide as the leaving group, in the reaction of a $4: 1$ mixture of $\mathbf{3 a}$ to $\mathbf{3 b}$ with piperidine.

The change of the nucleophile from piperidine to $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ resulted in higher $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$ and $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$, as expected for steric reasons. In the reaction with 1 g the change from piperidine to morpholine is accompanied by a decrease of $2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$ and 12 eu in $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$. We ascribe these changes to stronger internal hydrogen bonds in the zwitterion 18 when $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{+}$is the
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| X | Y | Color, crystalline form | $\mathrm{Mp}$${ }^{\circ} \dot{\mathrm{C}}$ | Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Calcd, \% |  |  |  | Formula | Found, \% |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | C | H | N | Cl |  | C | H | N | Cl |
| H | $m-\mathrm{Me}$ | yellow, oil | $a$ | 73.24 | 5.23 | 6.10 | 15.47 | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NCl}$ | 72.72 | 5.28 | 5.79 | 15.17 |
| H | $p-\mathrm{Cl}$ | white, solid | 62 | 62.40 | 3.60 | 5.61 | 28.35 | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NCl}_{2}$ | 62.41 | 3.94 | 5.70 | 28.70 |
| H | $p-\mathrm{Br}$ | white, solid | 70.5 | 52.90 | 3.25 | 4.76 | 12.03 | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NBrCl}$ | 52.91 | 3.15 | 4.90 | 11.60 |
| H | $p-\mathrm{CN}$ | white, solid | 88 | 69.85 | 3.74 | 11.63 | 14.72 | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 70.08 | 4.06 | 11.71 | 12.80 |
| $p-\mathrm{Cl}$ | H | white, solid | 68 | 62.40 | 3.60 | 5.61 | 28.35 | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NCl}_{2}$ | 62.68 | 3.79 | 5.59 | 28.69 |
| $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $p-\mathrm{MeO}$ | yellow-orange, solid | 134 | 57.80 | 3.79 | 9.65 | 12.20 | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 57.96 | 3.97 | 9.66 | 12.31 |
| H | $m-\mathrm{Cl}$ | yellow, oil | $b$ | 62.40 | 3.60 | 5.61 | 28.35 | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NCl}_{2}$ | 62.13 | 3.92 | 5.28 | 28.80 |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{Bp} 146-149{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(2-3 \mathrm{~mm}) .{ }^{b} \mathrm{Bp} 164^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~mm})$.
Table IX. UV and NMR Spectra of Diarylimidoyl Chlorides $\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Cl})=\mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Y}$

| X | Y | $\begin{gathered} \lambda_{\max }(\mathrm{MeCN}), \\ \operatorname{nm}(\epsilon) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \lambda_{\max }\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), \\ \operatorname{nm}(\epsilon) \end{gathered}$ | $\delta_{\text {Ar-C }}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ | $\delta_{\wedge r-\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{Mc}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | $p-\mathrm{MeO}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 242(21100), \\ 323(5700) \end{array}$ | 328 (6900) | $6.9(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.6(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})^{\text {a }}$ | $6.83(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q})^{a}$ | 3.23 (s) |
| H | $m-\mathrm{Me}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 244(19200) \\ 305(3200) \end{array}$ | 314 (3400) | $8.1(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \quad 6.9-7$. | H, m) | 2.26 (s) |
| H | $p-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 245(20700), \\ 306(3600) \end{array}$ | 314 (4800) | 7.55 (3 H, m), $8.2(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ | 7.03, 7.42 (4 H. q |  |
| H | $m-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 249(18500), \\ 302(3000) \end{array}$ | 305 (3500) | $8.2(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})^{\prime \prime} \quad 6.9-7$. | $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})^{\prime \prime}$ |  |
| H | $p-\mathrm{CN}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 254(31000), \\ & 295 \operatorname{sh}(7100) \end{aligned}$ | 310 sh (5300) | 7.65 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $8.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ | 7.10, $7.55(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q})$ |  |
| H | $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 254(11200) \\ & 305(15100) \end{aligned}$ | 292 (15500) | 7.5-8.3 | H, m) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| $p-\mathrm{MeO}$ | H | 283 (26700) |  | $6.95,8.15(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q})$ | 6.9-7.5 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) | 3.90 (s) |
| $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | H | $\begin{array}{r} 268(17000), \\ 333(4400) \end{array}$ | $340 \mathrm{sh}(5000)$ | 9.0 (4 H, m) | 7.0-7.53 (5 H, m) |  |
| H | H | 282 (22 200) | 284 (19300) | $7.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \quad 6.50-6$ | $7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |
| $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $p-\mathrm{MeO}$ |  | 368 (7700) |  |  |  |
| $p-\mathrm{Cl}$ | H | $\begin{array}{r} 254(21300) \\ 304(4000) \end{array}$ | 311 (3800) |  |  |  |
| H | $p-\mathrm{Br}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 247(23000) \\ 305(4500) \end{array}$ | 313 (5700) | $7.5(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ | 7.0-7.4 (4 H, q) |  |

${ }^{"} \operatorname{In} \mathrm{CCl}_{4},{ }^{b} \ln$ neat solution. ${ }^{c} \ln \left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$.
more acidic morpholinium entity as compared with 18 when $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{+}$is piperidinium, in analogy to the interpretation in vinylic substitution via addition-elimination. ${ }^{30 \mathrm{~b}} \mathrm{~A}$ similar entropy effect was found for the addition of amines to acrylamide. ${ }^{43}$

Activation parameters for the catalyzed reaction are available only for system 1 g with morpholine. As expected $\Delta S^{\ddagger}\left(k^{\prime \prime}\right)-\Delta S^{\ddagger}\left(k^{\prime}\right)=-6 \mathrm{eu}$, while $\Delta H^{\ddagger}\left(k^{\prime}\right)-\Delta H^{\ddagger}\left(k^{\prime \prime}\right)=$ $2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Such differences explain the absence of apparent catalysis for other systems at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Examples for reduced catalysis at a higher temperature are known. ${ }^{30 \text { a }}$
Element Effect. Additional criterion for distinguishing between mechanistic alternatives is the $k(\mathrm{RBr}) / k(\mathrm{RCl})$ reactivity ratio (the "element effect"). The $k_{\mathrm{Br}} / k_{\mathrm{Cl}}$ ratios are $30-450$ when $R=$ alkyl or benzyl, ${ }^{48}$ but they cannot always clearly distinguish between mechanisms with and without partial bonding of the nucleophile to the reaction center as shown by the following examples: the ratios are 425 for the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reaction of chloride ion with the MeX system in acetone and 450 for the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 1$ reaction of the $t$ - BuX system in dimethylformamide. ${ }^{48}$ The values are also high for substitution in the RCOX system. ${ }^{26,49.50}$ The value of 25 found for the reaction of morpholine with PhCOX was ascribed to a rate-determining elimination from a tetrahedral intermediate; ${ }^{50}$ the ratio of 80 for the reaction of acyl halides with phenols was ascribed to a bimolecular rate-determining attack on a cationoid species, ${ }^{26}$ and the ratio of 75 for the reaction of acyl halides with $p$-nitroaniline was discussed in terms of an $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ mechanism with
an appreciable bonding to the nucleophile in the transition state. ${ }^{51}$

On the other hand, the element effect in vinylic systems is capable of distinguishing between the addition-elimination mechanism (where $k_{\mathrm{Br}} / k_{\mathrm{Cl}}$ ratios are 1-2, ${ }^{6.52}$ and the highest ratio is $6.7^{53}$ ) and the $S_{N} 1$ mechanism (where the ratios are $20-80$ ). ${ }^{54}$ It seems that the same also applies for azomethine systems. The bimolecular reactions of hydroxamoyl halides with $\mathrm{MeO}^{-}$give a $k_{\mathrm{Br}} / k_{\mathrm{Cl}}$ ratio of $1.9,{ }^{14}$ while the hydrolysis of compounds 19 which proceed via the intermediacy of ni-


19, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}$
trilium ions give ratios of $30-400 .{ }^{8 g}$ Our (approximate) $k_{\mathrm{Br}} / k_{\mathrm{Cl}}$ ratios of 440 and 140 for $\mathrm{PhC}(\mathrm{X})=\mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{Y}=$ $\mathrm{H}, p-\mathrm{Br})$ support our suggested mechanism of an initial ionization to an ion pair when the Y's are not strongly electron withdrawing although they do not exclude some contribution from a competitive mechanism via rate-determining nucleophilic addition. In analogy with the reactions of acyl halides they cannot exclude an $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{N}}-\mathrm{E}$ mechanism with a rate-determining $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ bond cleavage, but this is excluded by the substituent effects described above and by the lower $k_{\mathrm{Br}} / k_{\mathrm{C}}$ ratio when Y is more electron attracting.

Table X. Analytical Data for the Amidines $p$ - $\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Z})=\mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Y}$

| X | Y | $\mathrm{Z}^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mp}_{\mathrm{p}} \\ & { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | Color, crystalline form | Crystallization solvent | Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Calcd, \% |  |  |  | Formula | Found, \% |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C | H | N | Cl |  | C | H | N | Cl |
| H | $p-\mathrm{MeO}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ |  | Yellow, oil |  | 77.52 | 7.54 | 9.52 |  | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 77.32 | 7.61 | 9.52 |  |
| H | $p$-Me | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ | 56 | Colorless | $\mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 81.95 | 7.98 | 10.05 |  | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 81.15 | 7.94 | 10.01 |  |
| H | H | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ | 51 | Colorless | $\mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 81.75 | 7.62 | 10.59 |  | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 82.08 | 7.94 | 10.63 |  |
| H | $p-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ | 84 | Colorless | $\mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 72.28 | 6.36 | 9.37 | 11.86 | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 72.49 | 6.67 | 9.19 | 12.18 |
| H | $m-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ |  | Oil |  | 72.28 | 6.36 | 9.37 | 11.86 | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 72.19 | 6.41 | 8.67 | 11.54 |
| H | $p-\mathrm{Br}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ | 87 | Colorless | $\mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 63.05 | 5.54 | 8.17 | $23.35{ }^{\text {h }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ | 62.76 | 5.82 | 8.60 | $24.40^{h}$ |
| H | $p$-CN | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ | 110 | Yellow, powder | $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ | 78.90 | 6.58 | 14.53 |  | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 79.15 | 6.96 | 14.27 |  |
| H | $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ | 84.5 | Yellow, needles | EtOH | 69.90 | 6.14 | 13.57 |  | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 70.08 | 6.11 | 13.41 |  |
| MeO | H | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ | 86 | Colorless | EtOH | 77.52 | 7.54 | 9.52 |  | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 77.80 | 7.25 | 9.09 |  |
| Cl | H | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ | 102 | Colorless, needles | $\mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 72.28 | 6.36 | 9.37 | 11.86 | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 72.16 | 6.38 | 9.53 | 11.36 |
| $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | H | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ |  | Red, oil |  | 69.90 | 6.14 | 13.57 |  | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 69.47 | 6.31 | 13.25 |  |
| $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ | 134 | Yellow, needles | $\mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 61.05 | 5.08 | 15.81 |  | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 60.84 | 5.07 | 16.00 |  |
| $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $p-\mathrm{MeO}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ | 146 | Orange, needles | $\mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 67.35 | 6.19 | 12.32 |  | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 66.67 | 6.25 | 12.45 |  |
| H | $p$-MeO | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{NO}$ | 95.5 | Colorless, plates | Ether | 72.95 | 6.80 | 9.45 |  | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 73.35 | 6.87 | 9.52 |  |
| H | H | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{NO}$ | 88 | Colorless, plates | Ether | 76.66 | 6.81 | 10.53 |  | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 76.37 | 7.10 | 10.61 |  |
| H | $p-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{NO}$ | 113.5 | Colorless, plates | Ether | 67.88 | 5.65 | 9.31 | 11.78 | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OCl}$ | 67.96 | 5.55 | 9.33 | 11.32 |
| H | $m-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{NO}$ | 119 | Colorless, plates | Ether | 67.88 | 5.65 | 9.31 | 11.78 | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OCl}$ | 67.84 | 5.89 | 9.02 | 11.95 |
| H | $p-\mathrm{CN}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{NO}$ | 133 | Colorless, needles | $\mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 74.30 | 5.85 | 14.40 |  | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | 74.53 | 6.08 | 14.32 |  |
| H | $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{NO}$ | 135 | Yellow, plates | Ether | 65.58 | 5.50 | 13.50 |  | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 65.55 | 5.71 | 13.24 |  |
| H | $m$-Me | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{NO}$ | 111 | Colorless, plates | Ether | 77.11 | 7.19 | 9.99 |  | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 77.00 | 7.11 | 10.34 |  |
| H | H | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ |  | Oil |  | 81.00 | 7.95 | 11.10 |  | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 81.17 | 8.11 | 11.08 |  |
| H | $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 91 | Yellow, needles | $\mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 67.80 | 6.40 | 14.13 |  | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 68.78 | 6.50 | 13.94 |  |
| H | $p$ - MeO | PhNMe | 94.5 | White, powder | $\mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 79.50 | 6.32 | 8.87 |  | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 78.80 | 6.34 | 8.81 |  |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$, piperidino; $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{NO}$, morpholino. ${ }^{h}$ Data for Br .

Table XI. UV and NMR Spectra of the Amidines $\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Z})=\mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Y}$

| X | Y | Z | $\begin{gathered} \lambda_{\max }(\mathrm{MeCN}), \\ \mathrm{nm}(\epsilon) \end{gathered}$ | $\delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \mathrm{ppm}^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\alpha-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\beta$ - and $\gamma-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}$ | Ar-N | Me |
| H | $p-\mathrm{MeO}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 242(14700) \\ & 288 \operatorname{sh}(6400) \end{aligned}$ | 3.33 (4 H, m) | 1.60 (6 H, m) | 7.2 (5 H, m) | 6.5 (4 H, m) | 3.65 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ) |
| H | $m-\mathrm{Me}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ | 244 (12 700) | 3.35 (4 H, m) | 1.60 (6 H, m) | 6.10-7 | H, m) | 2.10 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ) |
| H | H | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ | 246 (13000) | 3.41 (4 H, m) | 1.69 (6 H, m) | 6.20.30-7 | H, m) |  |
| H | $p-\mathrm{Cl}^{h}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ | 254 (13 600) | 3.38 (4 H, m) | 1.63 (6 H, m) | 7.22 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ) | $6.64(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q})$ |  |
| H | $m-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ | 250 (10400) | 3.45 (4 H, m) | 1.65 (6 H, m) | 6.5-7 | H, m) |  |
| H | $p-\mathrm{CN}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ | 297 (16600) | 3.43 (4 H, m) | 1.70 (6 H, m) | 7.25 (5 H, m) | $6.87(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q})$ |  |
| H | $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ | 368 (16500) | 3.44 (4 H, m) | 1.66 (6 H, m) | 7.22 (5 H, m) | $7.19(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q})$ |  |
| $p-\mathrm{MeO}$ | H | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ | $238(17600)$ | 3.40 (4 H, m) | 1.53 (6 H, m) | 6.4-7 | H, m) | $3.65(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ |
| $p-\mathrm{Cl}$ | H | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ | 231 (20 400) | 3.43 (4 H, m) | 1.70 (6 H, m) | 6.5-7. | $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ |  |
| $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | H | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ | 276 (10000) | 3.43 (4 H, m) | 1.70 (6 H, m) | 6.5-8 | H, m) |  |
| $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $p-\mathrm{MeO}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 236(10200), \\ & 271(17600) \end{aligned}$ | 3.37 (4 H, m) | 1.65 (6 H, m) | $6.55(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q})$ | $7.79(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q})$ | $3.65(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ |
| $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 257(13300), \\ & 355(14600) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | $p-\mathrm{MeO}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{NO}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 240(14000) \\ & 280 \mathrm{sh}(6200) \end{aligned}$ | 3.57 | , m) | $7.23(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ | 6.60 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) | 3.65 (3 H, m) |
| H | $m-\mathrm{Me}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{NO}$ | 238 (14000) | 3.60 |  | 6.1-7. | H, m) | 2.13 (3 H, m) |
| H | H | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{NO}$ | 237 (13500) | 3.58 | , m) | 6.47-7.25 | H, m) |  |
| H | $p-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{NO}$ | 248 (14400) | 3.60 | , m) | 7.30 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) | 7.78 (4 H, q) |  |
| H | $m-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{NO}$ | 244 (12300) |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{NO}$ | 356 (15900) | 3.66 |  | 7.30 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) | 7.30 (4 H, q) |  |
| H | $p \cdot \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 368 (15700) | $3.30(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q})$ |  | 7.20 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) | $7.28(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q})$ | $1.1(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t})$ |
| H | H | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | $242(13300)$ | $3.30(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q})$ |  | 6.3-7.1 | H, m) | $1.1(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t})$ |
| $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 368 (15 500) |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | $p-\mathrm{MeO}$ | PhNMe | $\begin{aligned} & 244(17500), \\ & 289 \operatorname{sh}(7700) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 6.25-7.30 | H, m) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.58(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Me}) \\ & 3.68(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OMe}) \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{a}$ For $\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime}$ quartets the center of the quartet is given. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{NMR}$ in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4} \cdot{ }^{*} \ln \mathrm{EtOH} .{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{NMR}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.

In conclusion, all the mechanistic criteria fit a bimolecular substitution which proceeds by two mechanisms: an $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ (IP) for electron-donating substituents, and nucleophilic addi-tion-elimination for electron-attracting substituents.

## Experimental Section

Melting points were determined with a Beckman apparatus and are uncorrected. UV spectra were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer 450 or with a Unicam S.P. 800 spectrometer, and the kinetics were followed by using a Gilford 2400 S instrument. IR spectra were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer 337 instrument and NMR spectra were taken with Varian HA- 100 and T-60 instruments, and the signal positions are given in ppm downfield from internal tetramethylsilane.

Diarylimidoyl Chlorides. The diarylimidoyl chlorides were prepared by the reaction of the corresponding benzanilides with a slight molar excess of phosphorus pentachloride in benzene. ${ }^{55}$ The reaction is immediate, but the mixtures were refluxed for 2 additional hours in order to ensure completion. The solvent and the phosphorus oxychloride were distilled in vacuo, and the imidoyl chlorides which were either oils or low-melting solids were distilled at $1-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. Compounds $\mathbf{1 a}, \mathbf{1 b}, \mathbf{1 c}, \mathbf{1 h}$, and $\mathbf{1 g}$ are known and had the literature melting points. ${ }^{9}$ The analytical data for the new imidoyl chlorides are given in Table VIII.

The compounds, especially those which do not carry strong elec-tron-withdrawing substituents, are unstable and hydrolyze relatively rapidly in air to he corresponding benzanilides. In the dark at argon atmosphere and at low temperature they remain stable for several weeks. The UV spectra in dry benzene remain stable for 2 days, but in dry acetonitrile at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the UV spectra show that the compounds decompose to the amides at a rate of $2-10 \%$ per $h$. Most of the chloride is converted to the amide during its dissolution in dry ethanol.

The $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ and $\epsilon$ values of all the imidoyl chlorides studied are summarized in Table IX. The spectra strongly resemble one another and in acetonitrile they show two absorption maxima, one at 295-306 nm with $\epsilon$ of several thousands and one at $248-268 \mathrm{~nm}$ with $\epsilon$ of ca. 20000 . These bands parallel those of benzanilide in acetonitrile [ 262 nm (16500), 305 nm (7700)]. $N$ - $p$-Nitrophenylbenzimidoyl chloride is exceptional by having a high intensity absorption band at 305 nm . The imidoyl chlorides show absorptions at $\nu_{\max } 3060-3070 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $1580 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (ring $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ ), and $1660-1670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}[\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Cl})$ $=\mathrm{N}-]$. The increase in the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ absorption compared with $\mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{NPh}\left(\nu_{\max } 1627 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)^{56}$ parallels the corresponding increase on $\alpha$ substitution in acyl halides.
The NMR shows the presence of aromatic protons whose positions and splitting depend on the nature of the substituents. The hydrogens at the ortho positions of the carbon-substituted ring usually appear at a lower field compared with the other hydrogens.
$\mathbf{N}$-Arylbenzimidoyl Bromides ( $\mathbf{3 a} \mathbf{+} \mathbf{3 b}$ ). To a moisture-protected $\left(\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}\right)$ flask containing phosphorus tribromide $(20.7 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \mathrm{mM})$ in dry petroleum ether ( 30 mL ), bromine ( $12.8 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \mathrm{mM}$ ) was added dropwise under argon atmosphere. The brown liquid was decanted from the powdered yellow phosphorus pentabromide, and the solid was washed thrice with petroleum ether. Carbon tetrachloride ( 50 mL ) and benzanilide ( $15 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \mathrm{mM}$ ) were added, and the mixture was gently heated until the evolution of hydrogen bromide was started and then refluxed for 6 h under argon. The solid obtained was identified as a monobromo- or a mixture of monobromobenzanilides by the bromine analysis and the UV and IR spectra. The solvent and the phosphorus oxychlorides were distilled at $65-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(90 \mathrm{~mm})$, and a viscous yellow-orange oil ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 25 \%$ ) boiling at $140-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $0.01-0.5$ mm was collected: $\lambda_{\max }\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 249 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 10000), 313 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 2600)$; $\lambda_{\max }\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) 314 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 2800) ; \nu_{\max } 3060(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}), 1660$ [conjugated $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Br})=\mathrm{N}], 1580($ ring $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ; m / e 341,339,337$ ( M for 3 b ), $261,259\left(\mathrm{M}\right.$ for 3a), 258, $260\left(\mathrm{PhC}=+{ }^{+} \mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Br}\right), 180$ $(\mathrm{PhC}=+\mathrm{NPh}), 157,155\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Br}^{+}\right), 103\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CN}^{+}\right), 77\left(\mathrm{Ph}^{+}\right)$.

Anal. Calcd for a mixture of $80 \%$ of $3 \mathrm{aa}\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NBr}\right)$ and $20 \%$ of 3b $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NBr}_{2}\right)$ : C, $57.22 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.61$; N, 34.06. Found: C, 56.95 ; H, 3.81 ; N, 33.87.

Repeated distillation did not change the composition of the mixture.

When the experiment was repeated by using half of the quantities of the reactants and the shortest reaction time ( 90 min ), a $20 \%$ yield of a mixture consisting of $73 \%$ of $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $27 \%$ of $\mathbf{3 b}$ was obtained.

The imidoyl bromides were stable when kept at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark at argon atmosphere. On dissolution in polar solvents (e.g., "dry"
acetonitrile), a very rapid hydrolysis to the benzanilides took place. However, in dry benzene the absorption decreased by only $2 \%$ per day, enabling kinetic measurements.

Substituted Benzamidines. The substituted benzamidines were prepared by mixing the corresponding imidoyl chlorides with the amines in dry ether at room temperature. ${ }^{57}$ At the end of the reaction the amine hydrochloride was filtered, and the ether was evaporated. The solid amidines were crystallized twice from ether or from aqueous ethanol, and the oils were distilled. The analytical data are given in Table $X$ and the spectral properties in Table XI.
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# Absence of Intramolecular Charge-Transfer Quenching in Photoexcited 4-Benzoylpiperidines ${ }^{1}$ 
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#### Abstract

The photochemistry of $N$-methyl- and $N$-benzyl-4-methyl-4-benzoylpiperidine (1 and 2) has been compared with that of 1 -methyl-1-benzoylcyclohexane (3). Like $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ undergo competitive $\alpha$ cleakage (yielding benzaldehyde) and cyclization to bicyclo[3.1.1] heptan-6-ols. Sensitization and quenching studies both reveal that 1, like 3, forms two kinetically distinct triplets. These are assigned to separate chair conformers with the benzoyl group axial ( 1 -a) or equatorial ( $1-\mathrm{e}$ ). Low-temperature ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR indicates a 1 -a $/ 1$-e ratio comparable with that for 3 . 1 -e has the same triplet lifetime as 3 -e and cleaves with the same quantum efficiency. The lack of intramolecular CT quenching in 1-e indicates that such quenching requires through-space orbital overlap. Triplet decay of $1-\mathrm{a}$ is 100 times faster than in $\mathbf{3 - a}$. The enhancement is ascribed to stabilization of the $\gamma$-radical site by the nitrogen lone pair.


Some years ago we reported rates of intramolecular charge-transfer (CT) quenching of the electronically excited benzoyl group in several $\alpha$-benzoyl- $\omega$-dialkylaminoalkanes. ${ }^{2}$ We assumed that CT quenching occurs in conformations in which the amino group has rotated close enough to the carbonyl for significant overlap of the nitrogen lone-pair orbital with the carbonyl $n$ orbital. Therefore we were surprised to read a major annual review describing our results in terms of through-bond coupling! ${ }^{3}$ In this case differentiating between through-space and through-bond electronic coupling is far more important than usual because of the kinetics boundary conditions involved. Bimolecular CT quenching of triplet phenyl ketones by tertiary amines occurs at rates close to those of diffusion control. ${ }^{2,4}$ Consequently the analogous intramolecular reaction should be rotation controlled provided that through-bond effects are negligible. ${ }^{5}$ That is, the rate-determining step for intramolecular quenching may be rotation into proper conformations. Eisenthal was among the first to point out that the rapid intramolecular exciplex formation in an ( $\omega$-aminoalkyl) anthracene must be rotationally controlled ${ }^{6}$ and picosecond spectroscopists are now well aware of this aspect of kinetics. ${ }^{7}$

If we can demonstrate that CT quenching in amino ketones involves only through-space interactions, then the kinetics of CT quenching in flexible amino ketones will provide valuable information regarding rates of bond rotations in large mole-
cules. Consequently we have investigated the photochemistry of two $N$-alkyl-4-methyl-4-benzoylpiperidines, in which the nitrogen lone pair is fixed some 5-6 A away from the carbonyl group. We find no evidence for any intramolecular CT quenching in these molecules.

## Results

$N$-Methyl- and $N$-benzyl-4-methyl-4-benzoylpiperidine (1 and 2) were prepared as described in the Experimental Section and outlined in Scheme I. Each of these ketones undergoes two

competitive photoreactions, as might be anticipated from Lewis' work on the carbocyclic analogue $3 .{ }^{8}$ Benzaldehyde, formed by an $\alpha$ cleavage reaction, was identified by its characteristic odor and gas chromatographic (GC) retention time. No attempt was made to characterize the piperidine fragments which accompany the benzaldehyde. The bicyclic alcohol 4,

